

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/529,617

Applicant(s)

FORROW ET AL.

Examiner

Kaj Olsen

Art Unit

1753

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kaj Olsen.

(3) _____.

(2) David Weinstein.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 December 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 6, 7 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to indicate that claim 6 has been previously cancelled and cannot be reintroduced. The examiner agreed to recancel the claim. The examiner also noticed that claims 7 and 10 had changes to their dependencies from the previous amendment and the examiner amended them back to the 12-26-2002 version of the claims. These changes were approved by the applicant's representative. The applicant's representative also agreed that claims 12-15 remain cancelled even though their status was not listed on the after-final amendment.